fected, although many reagents were tried. In general, only 16 could be isolated from these attempted oxidations. However, it was possible to acetylate 13 to afford ester 14 ( $76 \%$ ), which upon oxidation with NBS yield the aromatized acetate 15 (73\%). This compound could be cleanly hydrolyzed and methylated in one step to give eupolauramine (1) in $82 \%$ yield identical with an authentic sample. ${ }^{11}$
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## Free-Radical Chain-Substitution Reactions of Alkylmercury Halides ${ }^{1}$
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We have previously reported that organomercury halides will participate in free-radical chain-reactions 1-3. ${ }^{2.3}$ Although alkyl

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\text { alkyl }) \mathrm{HgX}+\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow(\text { alkyl }) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{R})_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{Hg}^{0}+\mathrm{X}^{-} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{RCH}=\mathrm{CHHgX}+\mathrm{Q}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{RCH}=\mathrm{CHQ}+\mathrm{Hg}^{0}+\mathrm{X}^{-} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(\mathrm{Q}^{-}=(\mathrm{RO})_{2} \mathrm{PO}^{-}, \mathrm{PhP}(\mathrm{OR}) \mathrm{O}^{-}, \mathrm{RSO}_{2}^{-}, \mathrm{RS}^{-}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{RCH}=\mathrm{CHHgX}+\mathrm{QY} \rightarrow \mathrm{RCH}=\mathrm{CHQ}+\mathrm{XHgY} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(\mathrm{QY}=\mathrm{RSSR}, \mathrm{PhSeSePh}, \mathrm{PhTeTePh}, \mathrm{ArSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\text { alkyl }) \mathrm{HgX}+\mathrm{QY} \rightarrow(\text { alkyl }) \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{XHgQ} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

radicals are involved in reaction $1,{ }^{4}$ substitution in 1 -alkenylmercurials (reactions 2 and 3) does not involve alkenyl radicals since the reaction with $\mathrm{Q}^{-}=\mathrm{PhS}^{-}$or $\mathrm{QY}=\mathrm{PhSSPh}$ proceeds readily in the presence of PhSH to yield the alkenylphenyl sulfide and not the alkene. ${ }^{3.5}$ On the other hand the presently reported reaction 4, which also occurs by a free-radical chain mechanism, quite clearly does involve the alkyl free radical as an intermediate. ${ }^{6}$

Reaction of $\mathrm{QY}=\mathrm{PhSSPh}, \mathrm{PhSeSePh}, \mathrm{PhTeTePh}, p-$ $\mathrm{MePhSO}_{2} \mathrm{SePh}$, or $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ with alkylmercurials ( $\mathrm{RHgX}, \mathrm{R}=$ $\Delta^{5}$-hexenyl, $\Delta^{3}$-butenyl, $n$-hexyl, neopentyl, isopropyl, cyclohexyl, cyclopentylcarbinyl, 7-norbornyl), summarized in Table I, proceeds cleanly in the presence of free-radical chain initiation ( $h \nu, 25-45$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; AIBN, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to yield RY. Reaction is not observed in the dark in PhH solution while the photostimulated reaction is inhibited by $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NO}$. In the case of the $\Delta^{5}$-hexenyl

[^0]substituent, extensive cyclization occurs to yield the cyclopentylcarbinyl product. From the yields of uncyclized and cyclized products for $\Delta^{5}$-hexenylmercury chloride, the rate constants for the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}} 2$ attack of the $\Delta^{5}$-hexenyl radical upon PhYYPh is calculated to be $7.6 \times 10^{4}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{S}), 1.2 \times 10^{7}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Se})$, and 4.8 $\times 10^{7}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Te}) \mathrm{L} /(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{7}$ The $\Delta^{3}$-butenylmercury chloride gives no cyclized products. ${ }^{8}$ Further evidence that the free alkyl radical is involved in reaction 4 is provided by the observation that $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ yields RCl and no $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2} \mathrm{R}, p-\mathrm{MePhSO}_{2} \mathrm{SePh}$ yields only RSePh , and $\mathrm{BrCCl}_{3}$ yields 1 -bromohexane ( $56 \%$ ) with $n$-hexylmercury chloride. ${ }^{9}$ These products are consistent with the mechanism given in eq $4 a-c$ ). ${ }^{10-12}$ The reaction does not occur
\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{Q} \cdot+\mathrm{RHgX} \rightarrow \mathrm{RHg}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{X}  \tag{4a}\\
1 \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \cdot+\mathrm{QHgX}  \tag{4b}\\
\mathrm{R} \cdot+\mathrm{QY} \rightarrow \mathrm{RY}+\mathrm{Q} . \tag{4c}
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

for PhHgX or (cyclopropyl) HgX , presumably because of the high bond-dissociation energies for 1 in reaction 4 b . With $\Delta^{5}$-hexenyl cyclization, the second-order rate constants for attack of the $\Delta^{5}$-hexenyl radical on $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $p-\mathrm{MePhSO}_{2} \mathrm{SePh}$ are found to be $3.7 \times 10^{4}$ and $3.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} /(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{s}){ }^{7}$

A modification of reaction 4 involves the participation of PhSH , either alone or in the presence of PhSSPh (reaction 5). Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{RHgX}+\mathrm{PhSH} \xrightarrow{h \nu} \mathrm{RH}+\mathrm{XHgSPh} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the alkyl radical can be trapped by PhSH to yield RH and PhS. ( $=$ Q.), which continues the chain. Again, $\Delta^{5}$-hexenyl gives some cyclized product (methylcyclopentane) from which the value of $\sim 8 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~L} /(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{s})$ can be calculated for the hydrogen abstraction reaction of $\Delta^{5}$-hexenyl radical with $\mathrm{PhSH}{ }^{13}$
It is interesting to speculate if the observed $\alpha$ attack of radicals Q. upon 1-alkenylmercurials ${ }^{3}$ invovles $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$ as an interemdiate (eq 3a-d). Such an explanation is quite consistent with the obser-

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{RCH}=\mathrm{CHHgCl}+\mathrm{Q} \cdot \rightarrow \mathrm{RCH}=\mathrm{CHHgQCl}_{1^{\prime}}  \tag{3a}\\
\mathbf{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \dot{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{HCHQHgCl}  \tag{3b}\\
\mathrm{RC} \mathrm{HCHQHgCl} \rightarrow \mathrm{RCH}=\mathrm{CHQ}+\mathrm{HgCl}  \tag{3c}\\
\mathrm{HgCl}+\mathrm{QY} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q} \cdot+\mathrm{YHgCl} \tag{3d}
\end{gather*}
$$

vation that an unsymmetrical reagent QY such as $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ yields only the sulfone ( RQ ) in reaction 3 but only the alkyl chloride ( RY ) in reaction 4.

The reactions of benzylmercurials took a somewhat different course than the reactions of primary alkylmercurials in that significant yields of bibenzyl were often observed. Furthermore, the bibenzyl must be formed by a chain process since $5-10 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\%$ of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NO}$. inhibited these reactions for extended periods of time. Photostimulated reaction of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{HgCl}$ with 1 equiv

[^1]Table I. Photostimulated Reaction, $\mathrm{RHgCl}+\mathrm{QY} \rightarrow \mathrm{RY}+\mathrm{ClHgQ}$

| R | Q-Y | conditions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | RY, \% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | PhS-SPh | PhH, 4 h | 92 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | PhS-SPh | PhH, dark, 6.5 h, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | PhS-SPh | $\mathrm{PhH}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 10 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\%\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NO}$. | 0 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | PhS-SPh | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{PhH}, \mathrm{AIBN}, 10 \mathrm{~h}, \\ & 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $64^{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | PhSe-SePh | PhH, 5 h | 85 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | PhTe-TePh | PhH, 3 h | 92 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} p-\mathrm{MePhSO}_{2}- \\ \mathrm{SePh} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{PhH}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ | 87 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | PhS-SPh | PhH, 3 h | 78 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | PhSe-SePh | $\mathrm{PhH}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ | 82 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | PhTe-TePh | PhH, 4 h | 83 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} p-\mathrm{MePhSO}_{2}- \\ \mathrm{SePh} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{PhH}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$ | 82 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{PhH}, 48 \mathrm{~h}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 46 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CCl}_{3}-\mathrm{Br}$ | $\mathrm{PhH}, 36 \mathrm{~h}$ | 56 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhS}-\mathrm{SPh}$ | $\mathrm{PhH}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | 74 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhSe-SePh}$ | PhH, 5 h | 86 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhTe}-\mathrm{TePh}$ | PhH, 6 h | 78 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} p-\mathrm{MePhSO}_{2}- \\ \mathrm{SePh} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{PhH}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$ | 75 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | PhS-SPh | PhH, 4 h | 100 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{PhSe-SePh}$ | $\mathrm{PhH}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$ | 100 |
| cyclo- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | PhS-SPh | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$ | $65^{c}$ |
| cyclo- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | PhSe-SePh | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$ | $72^{\text {c }}$ |
| cyclo- $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhS}-\mathrm{SPh}$ | PhH, 4 h | $86\left(73^{c}\right)$ |
| cy clo-C5 $\mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhSe}-\mathrm{SePh}$ | PhH, 4 h | 84 |
| 7 -norbornyl | $\mathrm{PhS}-\mathrm{SPh}$ | PhH, 6 h | $43^{e}$ |
| 7 -norbornyl | $\mathrm{PhSe}-\mathrm{SePh}$ | $\mathrm{PhH}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ | $53^{e}$ |
| 7 -norbornyl | $\mathrm{PhTe-TePh}$ | $\mathrm{PhH}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$ | $45^{e}$ |
| 7 -norbornyl | $\begin{gathered} p-\mathrm{MePhSO}_{2}- \\ \mathrm{SePh} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{PhH}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$ | $48^{e}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhS}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | PhH, 3 h | $88^{f}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhSe-SePh}$ | $\mathrm{PhH}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ | $93{ }^{f}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhTe-TePh}$ | PhH, 8 h | $85^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} p-\mathrm{MePhSO}_{2}- \\ \mathrm{SePh} \end{gathered}$ | PhH, 6 h | $81^{f}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | PhH, $48 \mathrm{~h}^{\text {d }}$ | $54{ }^{f}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | PhS-H | $\mathrm{PhH}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$ | $58^{f}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | PhS-H | PhH, dark, 10 mol $\%\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NO} \cdot$ $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 38 \mathrm{~h}$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | PhS-SPh | $\mathrm{PhH}, 4 \mathrm{~h}^{\text {d }}$ | 15 (668) |
| $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhSe-SePh}$ | PhH, 2 h | $72\left(7^{8}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhTe}-\mathrm{TePh}$ | PhH, 1 h | $80\left(0^{g}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{SePh}}{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MePhSO}_{2}-}$ | $\mathrm{PhH}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$ | 68 (58) |
| $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}{ }^{h}$ | PhS-SPh | $\mathrm{PhH}, 6 \mathrm{~h}^{\text {d }}$ | $8\left(72^{g}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}{ }^{h}$ | PhTe-TePh | PhH, 1 h | $100\left(0^{\text {g }}\right.$ ) |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{h}$ | $\mathrm{PhS}-\mathrm{SPh}$ | PhH, 2.5 h | 100 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{i}$ | PhS-SPh | PhH, 21 h | 85 |

[^2]of PhSSPh yielded mainly $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ and PhSHgCl while the better radical traps PhSeSePh or PhTeTePh led mainly to $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SePh}$ and exclusively to $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{TePh}$ (Table I). Dibenzylmercury undergoes a facile photostimulated decomposition (inhibited by $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NO} \cdot$ ) to $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ and $\mathrm{Hg}^{0}$ while $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{HgSPh}$ undergoes a photostimulated chain decomposition yielding $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph},(\mathrm{PhS})_{2} \mathrm{Hg}$, and $\mathrm{Hg}^{0}$. Benzylmercury chloride does not readily undergo a chain decomposition, but in the presence of anions ( $\mathrm{A}^{-}$), which promote the symmetrization to $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Hg}$ and $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}-\mathrm{A}^{-}\left(\mathrm{A}^{-}=(\mathrm{EtO})_{2} \mathrm{PO}^{-}, \mathrm{ArSO}_{2}^{-}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}\right)$,
photostimulated decomposition occurs. ${ }^{4}$ Bibenzyl could be formed in these processes by the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}} 2$ attack of benzyl radical at the benzyl carbon of the mercurial or by decomposition of the $\mathrm{Hg}^{111}$ intermediate $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{HgQ}, 1^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{SPh}, \mathrm{SePh}, \mathrm{TePh}\right)$. To distinguish between these alternatives, we have studied the chain reactions between $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Hg}$ and $\mathrm{PhYYPh}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Te})$ in which addition of PhY - to $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Hg}$ would produce $1^{\prime \prime}$ with $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{PhS}$ or PhTe . Reaction of 2 equiv of PhTeTePh with $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Hg}$ proceeded rapidly when photostimulated to yield quantitatively $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{TePh}$ and $(\mathrm{PhTe})_{2} \mathrm{Hg}$. We conclude that decomposition of $\mathbf{1}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{PhTe}$ ) leads to the benzyl radical and not directly to bibenzyl. With PhSSPh (2 equiv), a poorer trap for $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \cdot$ than PhTeTePh , the major reaction product was $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ (Table I). We thus conclude that bibenzyl is formed by attack of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \cdot$ at the benzyl position of a carbonmercury bond with $k_{6}>k_{7}$ for $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{S}$ but $k_{7}>k_{6}$ for $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Te}$ (Scheme I).

## Scheme I

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{PhCH}_{2^{+}}+\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{HgQ} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{H}^{2}}} \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}+\mathrm{HgQ}  \tag{6}\\
\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}+\mathrm{PhYYPh} \rightarrow \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{YPh}+\mathrm{PhY} .  \tag{7}\\
\mathrm{HgQ}\left(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}, \mathrm{PhY}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}^{0}+\mathrm{Q} \cdot  \tag{8}\\
\mathrm{HgQ}+\mathrm{PhYYPh} \rightarrow \mathrm{PhYHgQ}+\mathrm{PhY} .  \tag{9}\\
\mathrm{PhY} \cdot+\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{HgQ} \rightarrow \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{HgQYPh}  \tag{10}\\
\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{HgQYPh}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \rightarrow \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \cdot+\mathrm{PhYHgQ}  \tag{11}\\
\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{PhY}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Te})
\end{gather*}
$$
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(14) $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}^{2}}$ attack of $\mathrm{PhY} \cdot$ at the carbon of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{HgQ}$ or decomposition of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{HgQYPh}$ directly to $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{HgQYPH}$ are discounted because of the cyclization observed in the reactions of $\Delta^{3}$-hexenylmercurials.
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Monosubstituted hydrazines, particularly arylhydrazines, have been shown to react with several hemoproteins such as hemoglobin ${ }^{1}$ $(\mathrm{Hb})$, myoglobin ${ }^{2}(\mathrm{Mb})$, cytochrome P-450, ${ }^{3}$ lactoperoxidase, ${ }^{4}$ and horseradish peroxidase, ${ }^{5}$ forming heme adducts and producing a partial inhibition or destruction of these hemoproteins. ${ }^{6}$ The
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